

SECRET

SUBJECT: Grill Flame Update for MG Rolya, 21 Sep 79

1. Introduction
2. DOD Program
 - a. DIA - Gale Committee
 - b. Army
 - (1) AMSAA - prove Remote Viewing (RV)
 - (2) MIA - psychokinesis (PK)
 - (3) INSCOM - training in RV
 - c. Air Force
 - (1)
 - (2) FTD - assessment of Soviet effort
 - d. Navy - no program that we are aware of.
3. INSCOM Progress:
 - a. Review Mission Statement
 - b. Training
 - (1) Initial Orientation
 - (2) Individuals to SRI: May-Jul (1 week ea)
 - (3) Expand training to SRI: Aug - (2 weeks ea)
 - (4) Coordinate work
 - (5) Current projects
 - c. Problem Areas
4. Recommendations:
 - a. That sufficient dedicated space be allotted to carry out assigned mission.
 - b. Approve TDA as proposed.

SECRET

SG1B

SECRET

ORCON/NOFORN

GRILL FLAME EVALUATION TEAM (DOD)

MANFRED GALE, GS 18, TEAM CHIEF

LADDIE STAHL, MG (Ret),

HARRY HOLLOWAY, COL,

FRANK CARTWRIGHT, GS 15,

DOUGLAS TANG, GS 14,

RICHARD MONTGOMERY, CONSULTANT,

WILLIAM STONER, MAJ, DA STAFF, POC,

DR. JESSE ORLANSKY,

DR. HARRY SNYDER,

SGFOIA3

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA
DECLASSIFY ON: 31 Jul 99
EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA
REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

SECRET

GRILL FLAME

SECRET

MISSION STATEMENT

(S/ORCON) To establish a program using psychoenergetics for intelligence applications. Specifically, utilizing that field of psychoenergetics referred to as REMOTE VIEWING. The program encompasses the following:

- Establishing a training program in REMOTE VIEWING utilizing selected INSCOM personnel.
- Establishing procedures for intelligence collection techniques utilizing REMOTE VIEWING.
- Establishing a mechanism for responding to intelligence collection requirements (tasking) using REMOTE VIEWING.

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA
DECLASSIFY ON: 17 Mar 99
EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA
REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

GRILLFLAME

SECRET

TARGET CORRELATION CHART (TCC)*

ASSIGNED VALUE	DESCRIPTION	RATING
1	Absolutely no target correlation.	None (0%)
2	Drawings, narrative, and feedback reaction have minimal target correlation.	Low (15%)
3	Increased target correlation, identity of target could not be determined.	Low-Moderate (30%)
4	Many target correlation factors readily recognizable. Target identity possibilities narrowed.	Moderate (50%)
5**	RV data shows unmistakable correlation to the target. Target possibilities can now be typified.	Moderate-High (70%)
6	Little or no extraneous RV data present. Target identity can be readily matched.	High (80%)
7	Correct naming of the target.	Direct Hit (100%)

*Target Correlation Chart (TCC) was established not to prove or disprove Remote Viewing (RV), rather, it was designed to measure RV learning trends and to provide Project Management personnel a readily available management tool.

**Current state-of-the-art indicates this level of expertise is the norm for an experienced Remote Viewer.

CLASSIFIED BY: Director, DIA
DECLASSIFY ON: 31 May 99
EXTENDED BY: Director, DIA
REASON: 2-301-C (3) (6)

TARGET CORRELATION RATINGS

<u>ASSIGNED VALUE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
1	40
2	48
3	36
4	6
5	2
6	1
7	0
Not rated	9
Not graded	1
	<u>143</u>
	TOTAL

NOTE: 60 sessions adversely influenced by noise or work related problems.

PROPOSED MANNING LEVEL

TITLE

Project Manager/Commander

Operations Officer

Training Officer

Training NCO

Senior Analyst

Analyst

Analyst

Secretary (Stenography)

Secretary